

# Open Problems in Consistent Query Answering

## Open Problems in Database Theory, ICDT 2017

Benny Kimelfeld   Paris Koutris

# Database Repairs [ABC99]

- **Inconsistent database:** database  $D$ , violates set  $\Sigma$  of constraints

# Database Repairs [ABC99]

- **Inconsistent database:** database  $D$ , violates set  $\Sigma$  of constraints
- **Repair** of  $D$ : database  $D'$  that
  - ▶ satisfies  $\Sigma$
  - ▶ obtained from  $D$  via a *minimal* set of tuple deletions/additions
    - ★ (*minimal* w.r.t. set inclusion)

# Database Repairs [ABC99]

- **Inconsistent database:** database  $D$ , violates set  $\Sigma$  of constraints
- **Repair** of  $D$ : database  $D'$  that
  - ▶ satisfies  $\Sigma$
  - ▶ obtained from  $D$  via a *minimal* set of tuple deletions/additions
    - ★ (*minimal* w.r.t. set inclusion)
- Special case:  $\Sigma$  is a set of *primary-key constraints*
  - ▶ Then, a repair selects one tuple for each key value

# Consistent Query Answering

## Consistent Query Answering (CQA)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of constraints, and  $Q$  a boolean query. **CQA** is the following decision problem:

Given an inconsistent  $D$ , is  $Q(D')$  true for every repair  $D'$ ?

# Consistent Query Answering

## Consistent Query Answering (CQA)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of constraints, and  $Q$  a boolean query. **CQA** is the following decision problem:

Given an inconsistent  $D$ , is  $Q(D')$  true for every repair  $D'$ ?

- For common classes of  $\Sigma$  (e.g., GAV-tgds, egds) and  $Q$  (e.g., UCQs), CQA is in coNP

# Consistent Query Answering

## Consistent Query Answering (CQA)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of constraints, and  $Q$  a boolean query. **CQA** is the following decision problem:

Given an inconsistent  $D$ , is  $Q(D')$  true for every repair  $D'$ ?

- For common classes of  $\Sigma$  (e.g., GAV-tgds, egds) and  $Q$  (e.g., UCQs), CQA is in coNP
- **Dichotomy** for such classes of  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$  refers to the conjecture that *for every  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$ , CQA is either in PTime or coNP-complete*
  - ▶ Ideally, we would also like to have an algorithm that determines the complexity of CQA for given  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$

# Consistent Query Answering

## Consistent Query Answering (CQA)

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of constraints, and  $Q$  a boolean query. **CQA** is the following decision problem:

Given an inconsistent  $D$ , is  $Q(D')$  true for every repair  $D'$ ?

- For common classes of  $\Sigma$  (e.g., GAV-tgds, egds) and  $Q$  (e.g., UCQs), CQA is in coNP
- **Dichotomy** for such classes of  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$  refers to the conjecture that *for every  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$ , CQA is either in PTime or coNP-complete*
  - ▶ Ideally, we would also like to have an algorithm that determines the complexity of CQA for given  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$
- **FO rewritability**: Can CQA for  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$  be expressed as an ordinary query  $Q'$  in FO (hence, PTime)?



# History on CQA Research for Primary-Key Constraints

- **2005**: First attempt to establish a dichotomy for *acyclic simple CQs* [FM05]
  - ▶ *simple* = no self joins

# History on CQA Research for Primary-Key Constraints

- **2005**: First attempt to establish a dichotomy for *acyclic simple CQs* [FM05]
  - ▶ *simple* = no self joins
- **2010**: Dichotomy in FO rewritability for *acyclic simple CQs* [Wij10]

# History on CQA Research for Primary-Key Constraints

- **2005**: First attempt to establish a dichotomy for *acyclic simple CQs* [FM05]
  - ▶ *simple* = no self joins
- **2010**: Dichotomy in FO rewritability for *acyclic simple CQs* [Wij10]
- **2012**: Dichotomy (PTime vs coNP-complete) for *simple CQs with two atoms* [KP12]

# History on CQA Research for Primary-Key Constraints

- **2005**: First attempt to establish a dichotomy for *acyclic simple CQs* [FM05]
  - ▶ *simple* = no self joins
- **2010**: Dichotomy in FO rewritability for *acyclic simple CQs* [Wij10]
- **2012**: Dichotomy (PTime vs coNP-complete) for *simple CQs with two atoms* [KP12]
- **2014**: Dichotomy for *simple CQs over binary relations* [KS14]

# History on CQA Research for Primary-Key Constraints

- **2005**: First attempt to establish a dichotomy for *acyclic simple CQs* [FM05]
  - ▶ *simple* = no self joins
- **2010**: Dichotomy in FO rewritability for *acyclic simple CQs* [Wij10]
- **2012**: Dichotomy (PTime vs coNP-complete) for *simple CQs with two atoms* [KP12]
- **2014**: Dichotomy for *simple CQs over binary relations* [KS14]
- **2015**: Dichotomy for *all simple CQs* [KW15]
  - ▶ In fact, a more refined classification: FO, PTime\FO, coNP-complete

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma$ \ $Q$	simple CQs			
key constraints	✓			

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs		
key constraints	✓			

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs	CQ $^{\neg}$	
key constraints	✓			



# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs	CQ $^\neg$	UCQs
key constraints	✓			

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs	CQ $^\neg$	UCQs
key constraints	✓			
FD				

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs	CQ $^\neg$	UCQs
key constraints	✓			
FD				
EGD				

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs	$CQ^\neg$	UCQs
key constraints	✓			
FD				
EGD				
GAV				

# Open Problems

Are there dichotomies/trichotomies for broader classes of  $Q$  and  $\Sigma$ ?

$\Sigma \backslash Q$	simple CQs	CQs	CQ $^{\neg}$	UCQs
key constraints	✓			
FD				
EGD				
GAV				*

## CSP vs. CQA

- The *Constraint Satisfaction Problem* (**CSP**) dichotomy conjecture: Every CSP instance (set of allowed constraints) is either in PTime or NP-complete
- Posed by Feder and Vardi in 1993 [FV93], generalizes Schaefer's 1978 dichotomy theorem [Sch78]
- Officially, **still open!**

## CSP vs. CQA

- The *Constraint Satisfaction Problem* (**CSP**) dichotomy conjecture: Every CSP instance (set of allowed constraints) is either in PTime or NP-complete
- Posed by Feder and Vardi in 1993 [FV93], generalizes Schaefer's 1978 dichotomy theorem [Sch78]
- Officially, **still open!**

### Theorem [Fon13]

Dichotomy for CQA with GAV constraints and UCQs  
 $\Rightarrow$  Dichotomy for CSP

## CSP vs. CQA

- The *Constraint Satisfaction Problem* (**CSP**) dichotomy conjecture: Every CSP instance (set of allowed constraints) is either in PTime or NP-complete
- Posed by Feder and Vardi in 1993 [FV93], generalizes Schaefer's 1978 dichotomy theorem [Sch78]
- Officially, **still open!**

### Theorem [Fon13]

Dichotomy for CQA with GAV constraints and UCQs  
⇒ Dichotomy for CSP

- Twist: very recently announced that CSP conjecture has been **proved valid** by Rafiey, Kinne and Feder [RKF17]
- Does it imply CQA dichotomy? Unknown [Fon13]



# Counting Repairs

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of constraints.

- how many repairs does  $D$  have?
- how many repairs of  $D$  satisfy a given query  $Q$ ?

## Counting Repairs

Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite set of constraints.

- how many repairs does  $D$  have?
- how many repairs of  $D$  satisfy a given query  $Q$ ?

Closely connected to query evaluation over BID probabilistic databases:

- set the probability of a tuple in a block of size  $k$  to  $1/k$
- **difference**: in BIDs, tuples in the same block (a) can have non-uniform probabilities (b) their probabilities may not sum to 1

# Counting Repairs: Dichotomies

**Dichotomy** here refers to that *for every  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$ , counting is either in PTime (FP) or #P-complete*

## Known:

- a dichotomy for counting the number of repairs for FDs [LK17]
- a dichotomy for counting repairs that satisfy CQs with primary keys [MW14]

# Counting Repairs: Dichotomies

**Dichotomy** here refers to that *for every  $\Sigma$  and  $Q$ , counting is either in  $PTime (FP)$  or  $\#P$ -complete*






## Known:

- a dichotomy for counting the number of repairs for FDs [LK17]
- a dichotomy for counting repairs that satisfy CQs with primary keys [MW14]






**Unknown:** Everything else: e.g., CQs and FDs

Questions?



# References I

-  Marcelo Arenas, Leopoldo E. Bertossi, and Jan Chomicki, *Consistent query answers in inconsistent databases*, PODS, ACM Press, 1999, pp. 68–79.
-  Ariel Fuxman and Renée J. Miller, *First-order query rewriting for inconsistent databases*, ICDT, 2005, pp. 337–351.
-  Gaëlle Fontaine, *Why is it hard to obtain a dichotomy for consistent query answering?*, LICS, 2013, pp. 550–559.
-  Tomás Feder and Moshe Y. Vardi, *Monotone monadic SNP and constraint satisfaction*, STOC, ACM, 1993, pp. 612–622.
-  Phokion G. Kolaitis and Enela Pema, *A dichotomy in the complexity of consistent query answering for queries with two atoms*, Inf. Process. Lett. **112** (2012), no. 3, 77–85.

## References II

-  Paraschos Koutris and Dan Suciu, *A dichotomy on the complexity of consistent query answering for atoms with simple keys*, ICDT, 2014, pp. 165–176.
-  Paraschos Koutris and Jef Wijsen, *The data complexity of consistent query answering for self-join-free conjunctive queries under primary key constraints*, PODS, ACM, 2015, pp. 17–29.
-  Ester Livshits and Benny Kimelfeld, *Counting and enumerating (preferred) database repairs*, To appear in PODS, 2017.
-  Dany Maslowski and Jef Wijsen, *Counting database repairs that satisfy conjunctive queries with self-joins*, ICDT, 2014, pp. 155–164.
-  Arash Rafiey, Jeff Kinne, and Tomás Feder, *Dichotomy for digraph homomorphism problems*, CoRR **abs/1701.02409** (2017).

## References III

-  Thomas J. Schaefer, *The complexity of satisfiability problems*, STOC, ACM, 1978, pp. 216–226.
-  Jef Wijsen, *On the first-order expressibility of computing certain answers to conjunctive queries over uncertain databases*, PODS, 2010, pp. 179–190.