OPEN PROBLEMS IN MASSIVELY PARALLEL QUERY PROCESSING

Paris Koutris Venice, ICDT 2017

University of Wisconsin-Madison

What is the **parallel complexity** of computing a join query *q* with a massively parallel cluster of *p* machines?

MPC = Massively Parallel Communication [BKS - PODS'13]

- The data of size M is distributed evenly among the p machines
- The computation proceeds in rounds: each round performs local computation followed by synchronized communication
- In the end the output is the union of the output of the *p* machines

We measure the complexity using two parameters

- r : the number of rounds
- \cdot L : the maximum amount of data received by any machine at any round

$$\frac{M}{p} \le L \le M$$

In general, $L = M/p^{1-\varepsilon}$ for some parameter $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$

MPC = Massively Parallel Communication [BKS - PODS'13]

- The data of size M is distributed evenly among the p machines
- The computation proceeds in rounds: each round performs local computation followed by synchronized communication
- In the end the output is the union of the output of the *p* machines

We measure the complexity using two parameters

- *r* : the number of rounds
- L : the maximum amount of data received by any machine at any round

$$\frac{M}{p} \le L \le M$$

In general, $L = M/p^{1-\varepsilon}$ for some parameter $0 \le \varepsilon < 1$

A fractional edge packing **u** for a CQ *q* assigns a weight u_j to each relation R_j , such that for every variable *x*, the sum of the weights of the relations that contain *x* is ≤ 1

Theorem (BKS - PODS'14)

Any MPC algorithm that computes a full CQ q in one round must have load

$$L \geq \max_{\mathbf{u}} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} M_j^{u_j}}{p} \right)^{1/\sum_j u_j}$$

For data without skew, the HyperCube algorithm can achieve the above optimal load

When all sizes are at most M, the bound becomes $L \ge M/p^{1/\tau^*}$, where τ^* is the maximum edge packing

A matching database is a database where each value of the domain appears exactly once (degree d = 1)

Theorem (BKS - PODS'13)

The query $L_k = R_1(x_1, x_2), R_2(x_2, x_3), \dots, R_k(x_k, x_{k+1})$ can be computed on matching databases in r rounds with load

$$L = O\left(\frac{M}{p^{1/\lceil k^{1/r}/2\rceil}}\right)$$

The algorithm is (almost) optimal

OPEN PROBLEM #1: What is the optimal load for a full CQ q in r > 1 rounds on data without skew?

Not even known for matching databases!

A matching database is a database where each value of the domain appears exactly once (degree d = 1)

Theorem (BKS - PODS'13)

The query $L_k = R_1(x_1, x_2), R_2(x_2, x_3), \dots, R_k(x_k, x_{k+1})$ can be computed on matching databases in r rounds with load

$$L = O\left(\frac{M}{p^{1/\lceil k^{1/r}/2\rceil}}\right)$$

The algorithm is (almost) optimal

OPEN PROBLEM #1: What is the optimal load for a full CQ q in r > 1 rounds on data without skew?

Not even known for matching databases!

A fractional edge cover of a CQ q assigns a weight v_j to each relation R_j such that for every variable x, the sum of the weights of the relations that contain x is ≥ 1

- $\rho^*(q)$ = minimum edge cover
- AGM Bound: If each relation has size ≤ M, the maximum output can be at most M^{ρ*(q)} [AGM - FOCS'08]

Theorem (KBS - ICDT'16)

For any full CQ q, there exists a family of instances of size at most M such that every MPC algorithm that computes q with p machines using a constant number of rounds requires load $\Omega(M/p^{1/\rho^*(q)})$

OPEN PROBLEM #2: What is the lower bound when we restrict the relations to have different sizes M_i?

A fractional edge cover of a CQ q assigns a weight v_j to each relation R_j such that for every variable x, the sum of the weights of the relations that contain x is ≥ 1

- $\rho^*(q)$ = minimum edge cover
- AGM Bound: If each relation has size ≤ M, the maximum output can be at most M^{ρ*(q)} [AGM - FOCS'08]

Theorem (KBS - ICDT'16)

For any full CQ q, there exists a family of instances of size at most M such that every MPC algorithm that computes q with p machines using a constant number of rounds requires load $\Omega(M/p^{1/\rho^*(q)})$

OPEN PROBLEM #2: What is the lower bound when we restrict the relations to have different sizes M_i?

The $\Omega(M/p^{1/\rho^*(q)})$ lower bound is optimal for:

- CQs with binary signature [KS PODS'17]
- Loomis-Whitney joins, Star joins [KBS ICDT'16]

OPEN PROBLEM #3: Is it possible to compute any full CQ using a constant number of rounds with load $O(M/p^{1/p^*(q)})$?

OPEN PROBLEM #4: How many rounds are actually necessary to achieve the optimal load?

The $\Omega(M/p^{1/\rho^*(q)})$ lower bound is optimal for:

- CQs with binary signature [KS PODS'17]
- Loomis-Whitney joins, Star joins [KBS ICDT'16]

OPEN PROBLEM #3: Is it possible to compute any full CQ using a constant number of rounds with load $O(M/p^{1/p^*(q)})$?

OPEN PROBLEM #4: How many rounds are actually necessary to achieve the optimal load?

The $\Omega(M/p^{1/\rho^*(q)})$ lower bound is optimal for:

- CQs with binary signature [KS PODS'17]
- Loomis-Whitney joins, Star joins [KBS ICDT'16]

OPEN PROBLEM #3: Is it possible to compute any full CQ using a constant number of rounds with load $O(M/p^{1/p^*(q)})$?

OPEN PROBLEM #4: How many rounds are actually necessary to achieve the optimal load?

Consider the join query

$$Q = R(x,z), S(y,z)$$

- No Skew: the 1-round load is O(M/p)
- Worst-case: the 1-round load is $O(M/p^{1/2})$
- If we know that the degrees of a z-value h are $m_R(h)$ and $m_S(h)$, we can design an 1-round algorithm with load

$$\max\left(\frac{M}{p}, \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{h} m_{R}(h) \cdot m_{S}(h)}{p}}\right)$$

OPEN PROBLEM #5: What are the upper and lower bounds for the load when the degrees of values are known?

Consider the join query

$$Q = R(x,z), S(y,z)$$

- No Skew: the 1-round load is O(M/p)
- Worst-case: the 1-round load is $O(M/p^{1/2})$
- If we know that the degrees of a z-value h are $m_R(h)$ and $m_S(h)$, we can design an 1-round algorithm with load

$$\max\left(\frac{M}{p}, \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{h} m_{R}(h) \cdot m_{S}(h)}{p}}\right)$$

OPEN PROBLEM #5: What are the upper and lower bounds for the load when the degrees of values are known?

The External Memory Model:

- internal memory of W words + external memory of unbounded size
- $\cdot\,$ data can move between the memories in <code>blocks</code> of consecutive B words
- the *I/O complexity* of an algorithm is the number of blocks that are moved in and out of the internal memory

We can simulate an MPC algorithm that computes a full CQ *q* to obtain an EM algorithm that: this gives I/O optimal algorithms for certain CQs

OPEN PROBLEM #6: Does every simulation of an optimal MPC algorithm lead to an I/O worst-case optimal algorithm?

The External Memory Model:

- internal memory of W words + external memory of unbounded size
- $\cdot\,$ data can move between the memories in <code>blocks</code> of consecutive B words
- the *I/O complexity* of an algorithm is the number of blocks that are moved in and out of the internal memory

We can simulate an MPC algorithm that computes a full CQ *q* to obtain an EM algorithm that: this gives I/O optimal algorithms for certain CQs

OPEN PROBLEM #6: Does every simulation of an optimal MPC algorithm lead to an I/O worst-case optimal algorithm?